



### In this practice note:

- Analysing the site
- Conventional site drainage
- Alternative strategies

WaterSmart development involves simple design and management practices that take advantage of natural site features and minimise impacts on the water cycle. It is part of the contemporary trend towards more 'sustainable' solutions that protect the environment and cost less.

This WaterSmart Practice Note gives a general introduction to drainage design and the benefits of using alternative approaches.



## INTRODUCTION

**Stormwater** is runoff from paved areas such as driveways, footpaths and pavements.

Stormwater can only be used for outdoor non-potable use such as car washing and irrigation.

Stormwater management is a fundamental consideration in the planning and design of urban development. Unfortunately, it is often treated as a subsidiary issue that is not addressed until the final stages of the planning and design process. By considering stormwater manage-

ment at the initial design phase it is possible to ensure viable stormwater management solutions that are compatible with other design objectives for the site.

## SITE ANALYSIS

The best way to take a 'whole site' approach is to prepare a Site Analysis. For details, see *Practice Note 2: Site Planning*. Issues particularly relevant to drainage design are described below.

The site's topography will have a significant impact on the layout design. This is because stormwater drainage systems almost always rely on gravity. The layout of the development must be configured so as to allow excess stormwater to be gravity-drained to a street gutter or public stormwater drain.

Topography will also affect runoff onto the site from surrounding properties. Existing overland flow paths should be identified and retained. Where modifications to these are unavoidable, they should be designed so as to maintain existing hydrological conditions.

Drainage easements, natural watercourses and flood prone land should also be identified and considered in the design process. It needs to be borne in mind that drainage easements containing underground pipes can operate as overland flow paths during intense rainfall events. Buildings must be kept clear of

drainage easements to ensure public safety and to allow maintenance access.

Consideration also needs to be given to local soil conditions. Relevant factors include absorption capacity, erosion potential and the possibility of soil contamination from past activities.

### ADJOINING PROPERTIES

One of the basic principles of stormwater management is to avoid adverse stormwater impacts on other properties. Careful consideration must be given to con-

trolling surface runoff and subsoil drainage to adjoining properties.

The redirection and concentration of stormwater flows onto neighbouring

properties may constitute a 'nuisance' at common law, giving affected owners a legal right of redress.

### PUBLIC SAFETY

Stormwater runoff from rare and intense storm events can pose serious risks to life and property. It is essential that the design of overland flow paths, on-site detention storages and other stormwater management measures meet relevant

safety criteria for pedestrians, vehicles and property damage.

Buildings and access-ways should be located clear of overland flow paths, or designed to be compatible with the potential flood environment. Fencing and

landscaping should be designed so as to minimise the potential for overland flow paths to be obstructed during rare and intense storm events.

Relevant design criteria can be obtained from your local council.

### FLOOR LEVELS & FREEBOARD

Floor levels of habitable buildings must be designed so as to be above the expected water levels for overland flow paths, detention storages and flood prone land. Allowance also needs to be

made for 'freeboard'. This is an additional vertical separation between the expected water level and the floor level, the value of which varies according to local conditions and the particular type of

flood risk. Floor level and freeboard requirements can be obtained from your local council.

### CONVENTIONAL APPROACHES

Conventional drainage practices generally involve rapid discharge of stormwater from the site to a public drainage system. These practices are described in detail in numerous publications (see references). The main objective is to collect and convey stormwater to the street drainage system with a minimum of nuisance, danger or damage. Roof runoff is discharged via small diameter pipes (usually

100 mm diameter), and surface stormwater is usually conveyed by overland flow. The public drainage system consists of a system of gutters, streets, pipes, culverts and channels owned and operated by the local council or other authority.

Where the site slopes towards the street, roof runoff and overland flow are drained directly to the street drainage system

(see Figure 1). Where the site slopes away from the street, these are connected to the street drainage system or a receiving waterway via a drainage easement at the rear of the property (see Figure 2). The drainage easement generally incorporates a drainage pipe, and may also include a table drain on the ground surface for the collection of overland flow.




Fig 1: Conventional drainage - to street




Fig 2: Conventional drainage - to rear of property

**PROBLEMS WITH CONVENTIONAL PRACTICE**

The majority of stormwater runoff in urban area is from impervious surfaces such as roofs, paved areas and roads. Except in the case of major storms, little or no runoff occurs from pervious surfaces such as lawns, gardens and landscaped areas. Urbanisation has dramatically increased

the area of impervious surfaces. This in turn has resulted in increased peak discharges and greater volumes of runoff per storm.

The direct discharge of roofwater and overland flow to the street drainage system under conventional drainage practices causes rapid and concen-

trated discharges of stormwater. This contributes to increased flooding, erosion and sedimentation, and reduced stormwater quality. These problems can be mitigated by measures that delay stormwater discharges and that reduce the total volume of stormwater discharged.

**WHAT'S THE ALTERNATIVE?**

Alternative stormwater management measures, when used in conjunction with conventional practices, have many cost, aesthetic and environmental benefits. For example, roof runoff can be managed using rain-water tanks and infiltration trenches.

Paved areas can be minimised or replaced with porous paving. Runoff can also be managed by a variety of landscape measures and practices. These measures reduce the volume of stormwater runoff and the rate at which it is discharged to the street

drainage system. Figure 3 shows how these measures can be combined on a typical residential lot. For further details on how to implement these measures, see the other Practice Notes in this series.




Fig 3: Example of an alternative drainage strategy

## USEFUL WEBSITES

University of Newcastle: [www.eng.newcastle.edu.au/~cegak/Coombes](http://www.eng.newcastle.edu.au/~cegak/Coombes)

CSIRO: [www.cmmt.csiro.au/research/urbanwater/papers.cfm](http://www.cmmt.csiro.au/research/urbanwater/papers.cfm)

## REFERENCES

- Argue, J.R. (1986). *Storm Drainage Design in Small Urban Catchments: a Handbook for Australian Practice*. (Special Report No. 34), Australian Road Research Board.
- Argue, J.R. (2002). *On-site Retention of Stormwater: Introduction and Design Procedures*. Urban Water Resources Centre, University of South Australia, Adelaide.
- Engineers Australia (2006). *Australian Runoff Quality – A guide to water sensitive urban design*.
- Institution of Engineers Australia (1987). *Australian Rainfall and Runoff: A Guide to Flood Estimation*. 2 Volumes. IEA, Canberra.

## OTHER PRACTICE NOTES

Other WaterSmart Practice Notes are available in this series:

- No. 1 The WaterSmart Home
- No.2 Site Planning
- No.3 Drainage Design
- No.4 Rainwater Tanks
- No.5 Infiltration Devices
- No. 6 Paving
- No.7 Landscape Stormwater Measures
- No.8 Water Efficient Landscape Practices
- No.9 Wastewater Reuse
- No.10 Groundwater
- No.11 Site Discharge Index

© 2007 HCCREMS (Hunter Central Coast Regional Environmental Management Strategy), Ed. Kim Duncan & Hugh Cross.

Originally published by the Lower Hunter and Central Coast Regional Environmental Management Strategy (LHCCREMS, 2002) as *WaterSmart Practice Note No.3* (Principal Author: Peter Coombes). Revised editions published 2003 and 2004 by the Water Sensitive Urban Design in the Sydney Region Project. © 2002 LHCCREMS; © 2003 WSUD in Sydney; © 2004 WSUD in Sydney